

NEAR FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA.

Seismic Hazards Along the Lake Mary Fault,  
near Flagstaff, Arizona.

Seismic hazard i by Danielle C. Cox for the city of

Flagstaff. Flagstaff is located within the Northern Arizona

A Thesis

seismic belt and is situated just north of the Lake Mary

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment  
fault, an active fault within the Cataract Creek fault

of the Requirements for the Degree of  
ystem. The goal of this investigation is to evaluate, for

Master of Science

he city of Flagstaff, the seismic hazards along the Lake  
Mary fault. Methods involved in the seismic study included

in Geology

Northern Arizona University

urface mapping, seismic refraction surveys, analysis of

December 2001

istorical seismic data, computer modeling of gravity and

magnetic data and use of HAZUS™ to evaluate the seismic

azard for Flagstaff.

Approved:

The GIS program HAZUS, developed by  
Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) was used

David Brumbaugh

Dr. David Brumbaugh,  
Committee Chair

ossible losses (economic/casualties) from  
earthquakes. By using HAZUS to simulate five

Paul Morgan

Dr. Paul Morgan,  
Committee Member

scenarios along the Lake Mary fault (and  
fault), loss estimates have been made for the

Richard Holm

Dr. Richard Holm,  
Committee Member

Flagstaff in the event of a future earth  
HAZUS forecast that a Mw 6.9 earthquake also

Douglas B. Bausch

Doug Bausch,  
Committee Member

fatalities. The city of Flagstaff would face as many as 500

ABSTRACT

minutes in the city of Flagstaff, including  
SEISMIC HAZARDS ALONG THE LAKE MARY FAULT,  
NEAR FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA.

fire/police stations, hospitals, and schools) suffering at

DANIELLE C. COX

least slight damage.

Seismic hazard is an important issue for the city of Flagstaff. Flagstaff is located within the Northern Arizona seismic belt and is situated just north of the Lake Mary fault, an active fault within the Cataract Creek fault system. The goal of this investigation is to evaluate, for the city of Flagstaff, the seismic hazards along the Lake Mary fault. Methods involved in the seismic study included surface mapping, seismic refraction surveys, analysis of historical seismic data, computer modeling of gravity and magnetic data and use of HAZUS™ to evaluate the seismic hazard for Flagstaff.

The GIS program HAZUS, developed by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) was used to estimate possible losses (economic/casualties) from potential earthquakes. By using HAZUS to simulate five earthquake scenarios along the Lake Mary fault (and Ashurst Run fault), loss estimates have been made for the city of Flagstaff in the event of a future earthquake. Results from HAZUS forecast that a Mw 6.9 earthquake along the Lake Mary fault could produce damage totaling \$930 million and 11

fatalities. The city of Flagstaff would face as many as 530 minor injuries as well as essential facilities (including fire/police stations, hospitals, and schools) suffering at least slight damage.

The computer program HAZUS, along with geophysical investigations, including gravity, magnetics, and seismic refraction, aid in providing additional information concerning the Lake Mary fault. These methods assist in the awareness of potential earthquake hazards possibly having a

major effect on the city of Flagstaff sometime in the future. I greatly appreciate your advice and your reviews of my material.



## Table of Contents

<b>LIST OF TABLES.....</b>	<b>VIII</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES.....</b>	<b>IX</b>
<b>ABSTRACT .....</b>	<b>II</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....</b>	<b>IV</b>
<b>SECTION I: BACKGROUND.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 - INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<i>Purpose.....</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>Background on Data Collection.....</i>	<i>5</i>
Mapping.....	5
Gravity and Magnetics Surveys.....	6
Seismic Survey.....	6
Hazus.....	6
<i>Previous Work.....</i>	<i>7</i>
Mapping.....	7
Seismicity.....	7
Hazards.....	8
<b>CHAPTER 2 - GENERAL SETTING.....</b>	<b>9</b>
<i>Regional Tectonics and Geological Setting.....</i>	<i>9</i>
Colorado Plateau.....	9
Transition Zone.....	11
Fault Systems of Northern Arizona.....	13
Cataract Creek Fault System.....	16
<i>Regional Seismicity.....</i>	<i>16</i>
Intermountain Seismic Belt.....	17
Northern Arizona Seismic Belt.....	19
Seismicity in Arizona.....	21
<b>SECTION II: MAXIMUM CREDIBLE EARTHQUAKE .....</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>CHAPTER 3 - SIZE OF THE MCE.....</b>	<b>24</b>
<i>Geologic Mapping.....</i>	<i>24</i>
The Lake Mary Fault.....	24
Ashurst Run Fault.....	24
<i>Fault length and the MCE.....</i>	<i>26</i>
<b>CHAPTER 4 - RECURRENCE OF THE LAKE MARY FAULT</b>	
<b>SYSTEM MCE.....</b>	<b>30</b>
<i>Offset from geologic mapping and well data.....</i>	<i>30</i>

Offset from geophysical modeling of gravity and magnetic data.....	30
Introduction to magnetic data.....	30
Magnetic Modeling.....	30
Modeling Results.....	33
Introduction to gravity data.....	35
Gravity Modeling.....	35
Modeling Results.....	37
Slip rate.....	39
Recurrence of the MCE from geophysics, geology and historic seismicity.....	40
Historic Seismicity.....	40
Trenching and future work on recurrence.....	44
Seismic Refraction Survey.....	44
Method.....	45
Data Calculations.....	46
Elevation Correction.....	47
Lake Mary Seismic Line.....	47
Data Interpretation.....	50
Ashurst Run Seismic Line.....	53
Data Interpretation.....	55
Summary.....	57

**SECTION III: FLAGSTAFF AREA EARTHQUAKE HAZARD FROM THE LAKE MARY FAULT..... 58**

**CHAPTER 5 – HAZUS™ EARTHQUAKE SCENARIOS..... 58**

Introduction to HAZUS™.....	58
Methodology.....	60
Methodology Framework.....	60
HAZUS Earthquake Scenarios.....	63
Flagstaff Earthquake Scenarios.....	63
Hazard.....	66
Ground Motion.....	67
Inventories.....	76
Census.....	76
Building Inventory.....	76
Losses.....	78
Casualties.....	81
Shelter.....	83
Economic Loss.....	83
Building Damage.....	84
Summary.....	89
Weaknesses.....	89



CHAPTER 6 - SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.....	92
REFERENCES CITED .....	95
APPENDIX A. FIELD MAPPING.....	103
APPENDIX B. LAKE MARY SEISMIC LINE DATA.....	104
APPENDIX C. ASHURST RUN SEISMIC LINE DATA.....	114
APPENDIX D. HAZUS SCENARIO DATA.....	124

### List of Tables

TABLE 1. FAULT SYSTEM CHARACTERISTICS .....	14
FIGURE 1. INDEX MAP SHOWING THE NORTHERN AND CENTRAL SEGMENTS OF THE LAKE MARY FAULT .....	2
TABLE 2. MAGNETIC PROFILE C-C' DATA.....	31
FIGURE 2. PHYSIOGRAPHIC SUBDIVISIONS OF ARIZONA .....	10
TABLE 3. GRAVITY MODEL DATA.....	37
FIGURE 3. MODEL OF THE CRUST AND UPPER MANTLE OF THE TRANSITION ZONE.....	12
TABLE 4. MAP LOCATION OF HISTORICAL EARTHQUAKE EPICENTER DATA .....	42
FIGURE 4. FAULT SYSTEMS OF NORTHERN ARIZONA.....	15
TABLE 5. LAKE MARY SEISMIC LINE CALCULATIONS .....	49
FIGURE 5. LOCATION OF THE INTERMOUNTAIN SEISMIC BELT.....	18
TABLE 6. ASHURST RUN SEISMIC DATA .....	53
FIGURE 6. LOCATION OF THE NORTHERN ARIZONA SEISMIC BELT ALONG WITH SEISMIC ACTIVITY FROM 1830 TO 1993 .....	20
TABLE 7. SCENARIO INPUT DATA .....	65
FIGURE 7. NORTHERN ARIZONA SEISMIC ZONES .....	22
TABLE 8. SOIL TYPE CLASSIFICATION .....	67
FIGURE 8. FIELD MAP SHOWING THE SOUTHERN SEGMENT OF THE LAKE MARY FAULT AND THE NORTHERN SEGMENT OF THE ASHURST RUN FAULT. ....	25
TABLE 9. INVENTORY FOR COCONINO COUNTY.....	76
FIGURE 9A. CORRELATIONS BETWEEN FAULT SURFACE RUPTURE LENGTH AND EARTHQUAKE MAGNITUDE, AND BETWEEN FAULT SUBSURFACE RUPTURE LENGTH AND EARTHQUAKE MAGNITUDE.....	27
TABLE 10. BUILDING STOCK EXPOSURE BY GENERAL OCCUPANCY.....	78
TABLE 11. INJURY CLASSIFICATION SCALE .....	82
TABLE 12. CASUALTIES IN COCONINO COUNTY .....	82
TABLE 13. SHELTER LOSSES .....	83
TABLE 14. ECONOMIC LOSS (\$ MILLIONS) .....	84
TABLE 15. NUMBER OF BUILDINGS DAMAGED IN COCONINO COUNTY .....	86

**List of Figures**

FIGURE 1. INDEX MAP SHOWING THE NORTHERN AND CENTRAL SEGMENTS OF THE LAKE MARY FAULT.....	2
FIGURE 2. PHYSIOGRAPHIC SUBDIVISIONS OF ARIZONA .....	10
FIGURE 3. MODEL OF THE CRUST AND UPPER MANTLE OF THE TRANSITION ZONE.....	12
FIGURE 4. FAULT SYSTEMS OF NORTHERN ARIZONA.....	15
FIGURE 5. LOCATION OF THE INTERMOUNTAIN SEISMIC BELT.....	18
FIGURE 6. LOCATION OF THE NORTHERN ARIZONA SEISMIC BELT ALONG WITH SEISMIC ACTIVITY FROM 1830 TO 1993. ....	20
FIGURE 7. NORTHERN ARIZONA SEISMIC ZONES.....	22
FIGURE 8. FIELD MAP SHOWING THE SOUTHERN SEGMENT OF THE LAKE MARY FAULT AND THE NORTHERN SEGMENT OF THE ASHURST RUN FAULT. ....	25
FIGURE 9A. CORRELATIONS BETWEEN FAULT SURFACE RUPTURE LENGTH AND EARTHQUAKE MAGNITUDE AND BETWEEN FAULT SUBSURFACE RUPTURE LENGTH AND EARTHQUAKE MAGNITUDE.....	27
FIGURE 9B. CORRELATIONS BETWEEN MAXIMUM SURFACE DISPLACEMENT AND EARTHQUAKE MAGNITUDE AND BETWEEN AVERAGE SURFACE DISPLACEMENT AND MAGNITUDE. ....	27
FIGURE 10. LOCATION OF MAGNETIC PROFILE.....	32
FIGURE 11. MODEL OF THE TOTAL FIELD MAGNETIC ANOMALY .....	34
FIGURE 12. LOCATION OF GRAVITY PROFILE LINE AND DATA POINTS.....	36
FIGURE 13A. PROFILE OF GRAVITY ANOMALY ACROSS THE LAKE MARY FAULT.....	38



FIGURE 13B. MODEL OF GRAVITY ANOMALY .....	38
FIGURE 14. PLOT OF EARTHQUAKE FREQUENCY VS. MAXIMUM INTENSITY .....	41
FIGURE 15. MAP LOCATION OF HISTORICAL EARTHQUAKE (1972-1996) EPICENTERS.....	43
FIGURE 16. LOCATION OF THE LAKE MARY SEISMIC LINE. ....	48
FIGURE 17. CROSS-SECTION FROM THE LAKE MARY SEISMIC LINE DATA. ....	52
FIGURE 18. LOCATION OF THE ASHURST RUN SEISMIC LINE. ....	54
FIGURE 19. CROSS-SECTION FROM THE ASHURST RUN SEISMIC LINE DATA. ....	56
FIGURE 20. FLOWCHART OF THE EARTHQUAKE LOSS ESTIMATION METHODOLOGY. ....	62
FIGURE 21. FAULT SEGMENTS USED IN HAZUS EARTHQUAKE SCENARIOS.....	64
FIGURE 22. SOIL CLASSIFICATION MAP.....	68
FIGURE 23. PGA CONTOUR MAP – NORTHERN SEGMENT LAKE MARY FAULT. ....	71
FIGURE 24. PGA CONTOUR MAP – CENTRAL SEGMENT LAKE MARY FAULT. ....	72
FIGURE 25. PGA CONTOUR MAP – SOUTHERN SEGMENT LAKE MARY FAULT. ....	73
FIGURE 26. PGA CONTOUR MAP – ASHURST RUN FAULT SEGMENT. ....	74
FIGURE 27. PGA CONTOUR MAP – COMBINED RUPTURE.....	75
FIGURE 28. DAMAGE TO HIGHWAY BRIDGES – CENTRAL SEGMENT LAKE MARY FAULT. ....	79
FIGURE 29. DAMAGE TO HIGHWAY BRIDGES – COMBINED RUPTURE.....	80
FIGURE 30. DAMAGE TO ESSENTIAL FACILITIES – CENTRAL SEGMENT LAKE MARY FAULT .....	87
FIGURE 31. DAMAGE TO ESSENTIAL FACILITIES – COMBINED RUPTURE. ....	88

A complete text version is located at [NAU's Cline Library](#)